UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JUNIOR SAINVIL,

Plaintiff

3

5

6

7

8

MICHAEL P. PRINTY,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cy-01121-APG-CWH

Order Accepting Report and Recommendation and Dismissing Case

[ECF No. 20]

On March 8, 2019, Magistrate Judge Hoffman recommended that I dismiss this case because plaintiff Junior Sainvil has failed to comply with court orders. ECF No. 20. Sainvil did 10 not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and 11 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo 12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is 13 made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the 14 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if 15 objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

Additionally, Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation was returned in the mail. 17 ECF No. 11. Under Local Rule IA 3-1, a pro se party must immediately advise the court of any 18 change of address. "Failure to comply with this rule may result in the dismissal of the action, entry of default judgment, or other sanctions as deemed appropriate by the court." LR IA 3-1.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF No. 20) is accepted. Plaintiff Junior Sainvil's complaint is DISMISSED.

DATED this 3rd day of April, 2019.

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23

22

16

19

20

21